## **REMARKS**

Claims 1 - 18 have been rejected under § 103. Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections.

Claims 4, 7 and 15 have been amended in response to the Claim Objections.

## § 103 Rejection

Claims 1 - 11 have been rejected under § 103 based on Carr (U.S. 4,330,130) in view of James, Sr. (U.S. 6,173,957). Claims 12 - 18 have been rejected under § 103 based on Carr in view of James, Sr. ("James") and Rakonjac (U.S. 5,776,021). Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections.

Independent claims 1 and 12 require that the disc(s) have a scoring value indicator which corresponds to a number of points that can be scored with the disc(s). No cited art teaches or suggests this limitation. Applicants also note that - even if such art is cited - there is no motivation or reason to combine it with Carr since Carr is directed solely to a simulated bowling game and, in bowling, there is no reason to have a score value indicator on a bowling ball or on the flying saucer that is thrown in Carr.

Regarding Claim 15, Applicants respectfully submit that no cited art and no art of record suggests or discloses a method as claimed herein wherein a score is achieved by hitting a player with a thrown disc. No cited art teaches or suggests that a target can be a player. Carr is directed only to knocking over pins and has no teaching or suggestion of - or need for - hitting a player. Similarly James has no such teaching or suggestion. Rakonjac has no teaching or suggestion of scoring by hitting a person with a thrown disc.

Applicants respectfully submit that the claims define nonobvious, patentable subject matter.

2818935258

## Specifications & Objections

Descriptions of drawing figures begin on separate lines (see new pages 3 - 5 enclosed).

In the specification, Page 1, line 12 the word "having" is deleted.

Regarding antecedent basis for language in claims 11 and 18, these claims have been amended (see, e.g. Specification at Page 1, line 13 and Page 2, line 1).

Claims 4, 7, and 15 have been amended as suggested in the Office Action.

## Conclusion

Applicants appreciate the careful and detailed Office Action. This is intended to be a complete Response to the Office Action. Early and favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Guy McClung Reg. No. 29,008

Date: 4 Jan 2005

PMB 347 16690 Champion Forest Drive Spring, TX. 77379--7023

Phone: 281 893 5244

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. 81.8(a) I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with sufficient postage as first class U.S. mail with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Non Fee Amendments; Counts igner for Patents; P.O. Box 1450; Alexandria VA 22313-1450, on Date: CERTIFICATE OF PACSIMILE TRANSMISSION I hereby certify that the original of this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Examiner at Mail Stop Non Fee Amendments, Commissioner for Patents; P.O. Box 1450; Alexandria VA 22313-1450 McClung,